



Appendix A

Assessment products

More information about the Northern Australia Water Resource Assessment can be found at https://www.csiro.au/en/Research/Major-initiatives/Northern-Australia/Current-work/NAWRA. The website provides readers with a communications suite including factsheets, multimedia content, FAQs, reports and links to other related sites, particularly about other research in northern Australia.

In order to meet the requirements specified in the contracted 'Timetable for the Services', the Assessment provided the following key deliverables:

- Technical reports present scientific work at a level of detail sufficient for technical and scientific experts to reproduce the work. Each of the activities of the Assessment has at least one corresponding technical report.
- Each of the three catchment reports (i.e. this report and another for the Mitchell catchment and Fitzroy catchment) synthesises key material from the technical reports, providing well-informed but non-scientific readers with the information required to make decisions about the opportunities, costs and benefits associated with water resource development.
- A case study report, with case studies which show how information produced by the Assessment can be assembled to help readers 'answer their own questions'. They are also used to help readers understand the type and scale of opportunity for irrigated agriculture or aquaculture in selected parts of the Assessment area, and explore some of the nuances associated with greenfield developments in the study area. Case studies are provided for each study area.
- Three overview reports one for each of the three study areas are provided for a general public audience.
- Three factsheets provide key findings for each study area for a general public audience.

This appendix lists all such deliverables.

Please cite as they appear.

Methods reports

- CSIRO (2018) Proposed methods report for the Darwin catchments. A report from the CSIRO Northern Australia Water Resource Assessment to the Government of Australia. CSIRO, Australia.
- CSIRO (2018) Proposed methods report for the Fitzroy catchment. A report from the CSIRO Northern Australia Water Resource Assessment to the Government of Australia. CSIRO, Australia.
- CSIRO (2018) Proposed methods report for the Mitchell catchment. A report from the CSIRO Northern Australia Water Resource Assessment to the Government of Australia. CSIRO, Australia.

Technical reports

- Ash A, Bristow M, Laing A, MacLeod N, Niscioli A, Paini D, Palmer J, Poulton P, Prestwidge D,
 Stokes C, Watson I, Webster T and Yeates S (2018) Agricultural viability: Darwin catchments.
 A technical report to the Australian Government from the CSIRO Northern Australia Water
 Resource Assessment, part of the National Water Infrastructure Development Fund: Water
 Resource Assessments. CSIRO, Australia.
- Ash A, Cossart R, Ham C, Laing A, MacLeod N, Paini D, Palmer J, Poulton P, Prestwidge D, Stokes C, Watson I, Webster T and Yeates S (2018) Agricultural viability: Fitzroy catchment. A technical report to the Australian Government from the CSIRO Northern Australia Water Resource Assessment, part of the National Water Infrastructure Development Fund: Water Resource Assessments. CSIRO, Australia.
- Ash A, Laing A, MacLeod N, Paini D, Palmer J, Poulton P, Prestwidge D, Stokes C, Watson I, Webster T and Yeates S (2018) Agricultural viability: Mitchell catchment. A technical report to the Australian Government from the CSIRO Northern Australia Water Resource Assessment, part of the National Water Infrastructure Development Fund: Water Resource Assessments. CSIRO, Australia.
- Barber M (2018) Indigenous water values, rights, interests and development objectives in the Darwin catchments. A technical report to the Australian Government from the CSIRO Northern Australia Water Resource Assessment, part of the National Water Infrastructure Development Fund: Water Resource Assessments. CSIRO, Australia.
- Barber M and Woodward E (2018) Indigenous water values, rights, interests, and development objectives in the Fitzroy catchment. A technical report to the Australian Government from the CSIRO Northern Australia Water Resource Assessment, part of the National Water Infrastructure Development Fund: Water Resource Assessments. CSIRO, Australia.
- Benjamin J (2018) Farm-scale dam design and costs. A technical report to the Australian Government from the CSIRO Northern Australia Water Resource Assessment, part of the National Water Infrastructure Development Fund: Water Resource Assessments. CSIRO, Australia.
- Charles S, Petheram C, Berthet A, Browning G, Hodgson G, Wheeler M, Yang A, Gallant S, Vaze J, Wang B, Marshall A, Hendon H, Kuleshov Y, Dowdy A, Reid P, Read A, Feikema P, Hapuarachchi P, Smith T, Gregory P and Shi L (2016) Climate data and their characterisation for hydrological and agricultural scenario modelling across the Fitzroy, Darwin and Mitchell catchments. A technical report to the Australian Government from the CSIRO Northern Australia Water Resource Assessment, part of the National Water Infrastructure Development Fund: Water Resource Assessments. CSIRO, Australia.
- Dawes WR, Taylor AR, Harrington GA and Davies PJ (2018) Groundwater flow modelling of the Grant Group and Poole Sandstone aquifer – Fitzroy Trough, Western Australia. A technical report to the Australian Government from the CSIRO Northern Australia Water Resource Assessment, part of the National Water Infrastructure Development Fund: Water Resource Assessments. CSIRO, Australia.
- Doble RC, Taylor AR, Davies PJ, Smolanko N and Turnadge C (2018) Groundwater flow modelling of the Bulimba Formation and Wyaaba Beds aquifers Karumba Basin, Queensland. A technical

report to the Australian Government from the CSIRO Northern Australia Water Resource Assessment, part of the National Water Infrastructure Development Fund: Water Resource Assessments. CSIRO, Australia.

- Entura (2017) Hydropower study report. A technical report to the Australian Government from the CSIRO Northern Australia Water Resource Assessment, part of the National Water Infrastructure Development Fund: Water Resource Assessments. CSIRO, Australia.
- Hughes J, Yang A, Wang B, Marvanek S, Carlin L, Seo L, Petheram C and Vaze J (2017) Calibration of river system and landscape models for the Fitzroy, Darwin and Mitchell catchments. A technical report to the Australian Government from the CSIRO Northern Australia Water Resource Assessment, part of the National Water Infrastructure Development Fund: Water Resource Assessments. CSIRO, Australia.
- Hughes J, Yang A, Wang B, Marvanek S, Seo L, Petheram C and Vaze J (2018) River model simulation for the Fitzroy, Darwin and Mitchell catchments. A technical report to the Australian Government from the CSIRO Northern Australia Water Resource Assessment, part of the National Water Infrastructure Development Fund: Water Resource Assessments. CSIRO, Australia.
- Irvin S, Coman G, Musson D and Doshi A (2018) Aquaculture viability. A technical report to the Australian Government from the CSIRO Northern Australia Water Resource Assessment, part of the National Water Infrastructure Development Fund: Water Resource Assessments. CSIRO, Australia.
- Jordan P, Northfield A, Liu B and Hill P (2017) Design flood hydrology for six dam sites in the Darwin and Mitchell catchments. A technical report to the Australian Government from the CSIRO Northern Australia Water Resource Assessment, part of the National Water Infrastructure Development Fund: Water Resource Assessments. CSIRO, Australia.
- Karim F, Peña-Arancibia J, Ticehurst C, Marvanek S, Gallant J, Hughes J, Dutta D, Vaze J, Petheram C, Seo L and Kitson S (2018) Floodplain inundation mapping and modelling for the Fitzroy, Darwin and Mitchell catchments. A technical report to the Australian Government from the CSIRO Northern Australia Water Resource Assessment, part of the National Water Infrastructure Development Fund: Water Resource Assessments. CSIRO, Australia.
- Lyons I and Barber M (2018) Indigenous water values, rights, interests and development objectives in the Mitchell catchment. A technical report to the Australian Government from the CSIRO Northern Australia Water Resource Assessment, part of the National Water Infrastructure Development Fund: Water Resource Assessments. CSIRO, Australia.
- Macintosh A, Waschka M, Jones J and Wood A (2018) Legal, regulatory and policy environment for development of water resources in northern Australia: Summary report. A technical report to the Australian Government from the CSIRO Northern Australia Water Resource Assessment, part of the National Water Infrastructure Development Fund: Water Resource Assessments. CSIRO, Australia.
- Petheram C, Rogers L, Read A, Gallant J, Moon A, Yang A, Gonzalez D, Seo L, Marvanek S, Hughes J,
 Ponce Reyes R, Wilson P, Wang B, Ticehurst C and Barber M (2017) Assessment of surface
 water storage options in the Fitzroy, Darwin and Mitchell catchments. A technical report to

the Australian Government from the CSIRO Northern Australia Water Resource Assessment, part of the National Water Infrastructure Development Fund: Water Resource Assessments. CSIRO, Australia.

- Pollino CA, Barber E, Buckworth R, Cadiegues M, Deng A, Ebner B, Kenyon R, Liedloff A, Merrin LE, Moeseneder C, Morgan D, Nielsen DL, O'Sullivan J, Ponce Reyes R, Robson BJ, Stratford DS, Stewart-Koster B and Turschwell M (2018) Synthesis of knowledge to support the assessment of impacts of water resource development to ecological assets in northern Australia: asset analysis. A technical report to the Australian Government from the CSIRO. Northern Australia Water Resource Assessment, part of the National Water Infrastructure Development Fund: Water Resource Assessments. CSIRO, Australia.
- Pollino CA, Barber E, Buckworth R, Cadiegues M, Deng A, Ebner B, Kenyon R, Liedloff A, Merrin LE, Moeseneder C, Morgan D, Nielsen DL, O'Sullivan J, Ponce Reyes R, Robson BJ, Stratford DS, Stewart-Koster B and Turschwell M (2018) Synthesis of knowledge to support the assessment of impacts of water resource development to ecological assets in northern Australia: asset descriptions. A technical report to the Australian Government from the CSIRO Northern Australia Water Resource Assessment, part of the National Water Infrastructure Development Fund: Water Resource Assessments. CSIRO, Australia.
- Shao Q, Kitson S, Petheram C, Hughes J, Kenyon R (2018) Modelling the influence of streamflow on prawn catch in northern Australia. A technical report to the Australian Government from the CSIRO Northern Australia Water Resource Assessment, part of the National Water Infrastructure Development Fund: Water Resource Assessments. CSIRO, Australia.
- Sims N, Anstee J, Barron O, Botha E, Lehmann E, Li L, McVicar T, Paget M, Ticehurst C, Van Niel T and Warren G (2016) Earth observation remote sensing. A technical report to the Australian Government from the CSIRO Northern Australia Water Resource Assessment, part of the National Water Infrastructure Development Fund: Water Resource Assessments. CSIRO, Australia.
- Stokes C, Addison J, Macintosh A, Jarvis D, Higgins A, Doshi A, Waschka M, Jones J, Wood A, Horner N, Barber M, Bruce C, Austin J and Lau J (2017) Costs, benefits, institutional and social considerations for irrigation development. A technical report to the Australian Government from the CSIRO Northern Australia Water Resource Assessment, part of the National Water Infrastructure Development Fund: Water Resource Assessments. CSIRO, Australia.
- Taylor AR, Doble RC, Crosbie RS, Barry KE, Harrington,GA, Davies PJ and Thomas M (2018)
 Hydrogeological assessment of the Bulimba Formation Mitchell catchment, Queensland. A technical report to the Australian Government from the CSIRO Northern Australia Water
 Resource Assessment, part of the National Water Infrastructure Development Fund: Water
 Resource Assessments. CSIRO, Australia.
- Taylor AR, Harrington GA, Clohessy S, Dawes WR, Crosbie RS, Doble RC, Wohling DL, Batlle-Aguilar J, Davies PJ, Thomas M and Suckow A (2018) Hydrogeological assessment of the Grant Group and Poole Sandstone - Fitzroy catchment, Western Australia. A technical report to the Australian Government from the CSIRO Northern Australia Water Resource Assessment, part

of the National Water Infrastructure Development Fund: Water Resource Assessments. CSIRO, Australia.

- Thomas M, Brough D, Bui E, Harms B, Hill JV, Holmes K, Hill JV, Morrison D, Philip S, Searle R, Smolinski H, Tuomi S, Van Gool D, Watson I, Wilson PL and Wilson PR (2018) Digital soil mapping of the Fitzroy, Darwin and Mitchell catchments. A technical report from the CSIRO Northern Australia Water Resource Assessment, part of the National Water Infrastructure Development Fund: Water Resource Assessments. CSIRO, Australia.
- Thomas M, Gregory L, Harms B, Hill JV, Morrison D, Philip S, Searle R, Smolinski H, Van Gool D, Watson I, Wilson PL and Wilson PR (2018) Land suitability of the Fitzroy, Darwin and Mitchell catchments. A technical report from the CSIRO Northern Australia Water Resource Assessment, part of the National Water Infrastructure Development Fund: Water Resource Assessments. CSIRO, Australia.
- Turnadge C, Taylor AR and Harrington GA (2018) Groundwater flow modelling of the Mary– Wildman rivers area, Northern Territory. A technical report to the Australian Government from the CSIRO Northern Australia Water Resource Assessment, part of the National Water Infrastructure Development Fund: Water Resource Assessments. CSIRO, Australia.
- Turnadge C, Crosbie RS, Tickell SJ, Zaar U, Smith SD, Dawes WR, Harrington GA and Taylor AR (2018) Hydrogeological characterisation of the Mary-Wildman rivers area, Northern
 Territory. A technical report to the Australian Government from the CSIRO Northern
 Australia Water Resource Assessment, part of the National Water Infrastructure
 Development Fund: Water Resource Assessments. CSIRO, Australia.
- Vanderzalm JL, Page DW, Gonzalez D, Barry KE, Dillon PJ, Taylor AR, Dawes WR, Cui T and Knapton A (2018) Assessment of managed aquifer recharge (MAR) opportunities in the Fitzroy, Darwin and Mitchell catchments. A technical report to the Australian Government from the CSIRO Northern Australia Water Resource Assessment, part of the National Water Infrastructure Development Fund: Water Resource Assessments. CSIRO, Australia.
- Van Niel T, McVicar T, Li L (2017) Generating 25 m 8-day actual evaporation grids using spatiotemporal blending of Landsat and MODIS data for the Darwin catchments. A technical report to the Australian Government from the CSIRO Northern Australia Water Resource Assessment, part of the National Water Infrastructure Development Fund: Water Resource Assessments. CSIRO, Australia.

Catchment reports

- Petheram C, Chilcott C, Watson I and Bruce C (eds) (2018) Water resource assessment for the Darwin catchments. A report to the Australian Government from the CSIRO Northern Australia Water Resource Assessment, part of the National Water Infrastructure Development Fund: Water Resource Assessments. CSIRO, Australia.
- Petheram C, Bruce C, Chilcott C and Watson I (eds) (2018) Water resource assessment for the Fitzroy catchment. A report to the Australian Government from the CSIRO Northern Australia Water Resource Assessment, part of the National Water Infrastructure Development Fund: Water Resource Assessments. CSIRO, Australia.

Petheram C, Watson I, Bruce C and Chilcott C (eds) (2018) Water resource assessment for the Mitchell catchment. A report to the Australian Government from the CSIRO Northern Australia Water Resource Assessment, part of the National Water Infrastructure Development Fund: Water Resource Assessments. CSIRO, Australia.

Overview reports

- CSIRO (2018) Water resource assessment for the Darwin catchments. An overview report to the Australian Government from the CSIRO Northern Australia Water Resource Assessment, part of the National Water Infrastructure Development Fund: Water Resource Assessments. CSIRO, Australia.
- CSIRO (2018) Water resource assessment for the Fitzroy catchment. An overview report to the Australian Government from the CSIRO Northern Australia Water Resource Assessment, part of the National Water Infrastructure Development Fund: Water Resource Assessments. CSIRO, Australia.
- CSIRO (2018) Water resource assessment for the Mitchell catchment. An overview report to the Australian Government from the CSIRO Northern Australia Water Resource Assessment, part of the National Water Infrastructure Development Fund: Water Resource Assessments. CSIRO, Australia.

Factsheets on key findings

- CSIRO (2018) Northern Australia Water Resource Assessment Darwin catchments. Key messages of reports to the Australian Government from the CSIRO Northern Australia Water Resource Assessment, part of the National Water Infrastructure Development Fund: Water Resource Assessments. CSIRO, Australia.
- CSIRO (2018) Northern Australia Water Resource Assessment Fitzroy catchment. Key messages of reports to the Australian Government from the CSIRO Northern Australia Water Resource Assessment, part of the National Water Infrastructure Development Fund: Water Resource Assessments. CSIRO, Australia.
- CSIRO (2018) Northern Australia Water Resource Assessment Mitchell catchment. Key messages of reports to the Australian Government from the CSIRO Northern Australia Water Resource Assessment, part of the National Water Infrastructure Development Fund: Water Resource Assessments. CSIRO, Australia.

Appendix B

Shortened forms

SHORT FORM	MEANING
AEM	airborne electromagnetics
AHD	Australian Height Datum
APSIM	Agricultural Production Systems Simulator
AWRC	Australian Water Resources Council
CGE	Computable General Equilibrium
CSO	community service obligations
CSIRO	Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation
DEM	digital elevation model
FTE	full-time equivalents
GAB	Great Artesian Basin
GCMs	global climate models
GCM-ES	global climate model output empirically scaled to provide catchment-scale variables
IPCC AR4	the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
IDAS	Integrated development assessment system
IQQM	Integrated Quantity-Quality Model – a river systems model
IRR	internal rate of return
Landsat TM	Landsat Thematic Mapper
MODIS	Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer
NABSA	North Australia Beef System Analysis
NPV	net present value
NQIAS	North Queensland Irrigated Agriculture Strategy
NRM	natural resource management
ONA	the Australian Government Office of Northern Australia
OWL	the Open Water Likelihood algorithm
PAWC	plant available water capacity
PE	potential evaporation
RCP	representative concentration pathway
Sacramento	a rainfall-runoff model

SHORT FORM	MEANING
SALI	the Soil and Land Information System for Queensland
SLAs	statistical local areas
SRTM	shuttle radar topography mission
TRaCK	Tropical Rivers and Coastal Knowledge Research Hub
WRON	CSIRO's Water Resource Observation Network

Units

MEASUREMENT UNITS	DESCRIPTION
BP	before present
GL	gigalitres, 1,000,000,000 litres
keV	kilo-electronvolts
kL	kilolitres, 1000 litres
km	kilometres, 1000 metres
kPa	kilopascal
Kt	kiloton
L	litres
m	metres
Ма	million years
МВ	megabyte
mAHD	metres above Australian Height Datum
mEGM96	Earth Gravitational Model 1996 geoid heights in metres
MeV	mega-electronvolts
mg	milligrams

Data sources and availability

The Northern Australia Water Resource Assessment obtained a range of data for use under licence from a number of organisations, including the following:

- State of Queensland (Business Queensland)
 - Digital Cadastral Database The Digital Cadastral Database (DCDB) contains the property boundaries and related property description of all land parcels in Queensland. It provides the base for searching, planning and analysing land related information and is primarily used by most local governments for these purposes.
 - Licence: Data downloaded via QSpatial as open data is provided under a Creative Commons CC-By licence.
 - https://www.business.qld.gov.au/running-business/support-assistance/mappingdata-imagery/data/digital-cadastral
- State of Queensland
 - Queensland's Regional Ecosystem Description Database
 - Licence: This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Licence
 - Conditions of use statement: The database was developed using data compiled by the State of Queensland as represented by the Queensland Herbarium, Department of Environment and Science. While every effort has been made to ensure that the material contained in the database is accurate, the State of Queensland accepts no liability and gives no assurance in respect of its accuracy and shall not be liable for any loss or damage arising from the use of the database.
 - https://www.qld.gov.au/environment/plants-animals/plants/ecosystems/descriptions
- Australian Government (Geoscience Australia)
 - GEODATA Topo 250K Series 3 spatial data for mapping
 - Licence: Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Australia, http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/au/, (c) Commonwealth of Australia (Geoscience Australia) 2014
 - https://data.gov.au/dataset/a0650f18-518a-4b99-a553-44f82f28bb5f
 - SRTM-derived 3 Second Digital Elevation Models Version 1.0
 - Licence: The 3 second DEMs were released under Creative Commons attribution licensing in ESRI Grid format
 - https://ecat.ga.gov.au/geonetwork/srv/eng/catalog.search?node=srv#/metadata/ aac46307-fce9-449d-e044-00144fdd4fa6
 - GEODATA 9 second DEM and D8: Digital Elevation Model Version 3
 - Licence: Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Licence
 - https://ecat.ga.gov.au/geonetwork/srv/eng/catalog.search?node=srv#/metadata/ a05f7892-d78f-7506-e044-00144fdd4fa6

- Esri
 - World Imagery Map Service map service of satellite imagery for the world and highresolution imagery for the United States and other areas around the world. Imagery is sourced from GeoEye IKONOS, Getmapping, AeroGRID, IGN Spain, IGP Portugal, i-cubed, USGS, AEX, Aerogrid, Swisstopo and by the GIS User Community.
 - https://www.arcgis.com/home/item.html?id=10df2279f9684e4a9f6a7f08febac2a9
- Atlas of Living Australia a collaborative, national project that aggregates biodiversity data from multiple sources and is freely available and usable online.
 - https://www.ala.org.au/
- Australian Wetlands Database online access to information on Australia's Ramsar wetlands and sites listed in the Directory of Important Wetlands of Australia, Australia's internationally and nationally important wetlands respectively.
 - http://www.environment.gov.au/water/wetlands/australian-wetlands-database

Glossary and terms

Anthropogenic: a human impact on the environment.

Aquifer: a permeable geological material that can transmit significant quantities of water to a bore, spring, or surface water body. Generally, 'significant' is defined based on human need, rather than on an absolute standard.

Aquitard (confining layers): a saturated geological unit that is less permeable than an aquifer, and incapable of transmitting useful quantities of water. Aquitards often form a confining layer over an artesian aquifer.

Artesian: a general term used when describing certain types of groundwater resources. Artesian water is underground water confined and pressurised within a porous and permeable geological formation. An artesian aquifer has enough natural pressure to allow water in a bore to rise to the ground surface. Subartesian water is water that occurs naturally in an aquifer, which if tapped by a bore, would not flow naturally to the surface. Artesian conditions refer to the characteristics of water under pressure.

Basement: the crust below the rocks of interest. In hydrogeology it means non-prospective rocks below accessible groundwater. Commonly refers to igneous and metamorphic rocks which are unconformably overlain by sedimentary beds or cover material, and sometimes used to indicate 'bedrock' (i.e. underlying or encasing palaeovalley sediments).

Benthic: the ecological region at the lowest level of a body of water such as an ocean or a lake, including the sediment surface and some sub-surface layers.

Current development: the level of surface water, groundwater and economic development in place as of 1 July 2013. The Assessment assumes that all current water entitlements are being fully used.

Development: see entries for 'current development' and 'future irrigation development'.

Discount rate: the percentage by which future cost and benefits are discounted each year (compounded) to convert them to their equivalent present value (PV)

Drainage division: the area of land where surface water drains to a common point. There are 12 major drainage divisions in Australia. At a smaller scale, surface water drainage areas are also referred to as river basins, catchments, or watersheds.

Drawdown: the lowering of groundwater level resulting from the extraction of water, oil or gas from an aquifer.

Ecosystem services: the contributions that ecosystems make to human wellbeing.

Eutrophication: the ecosystem response to the addition of artificial or natural substances, such as nitrates and phosphates, through fertilizers or sewage, to an aquatic system. One example is an 'algal bloom' or great increase of phytoplankton in a water body as a response to increased levels of nutrients.

Environmental flows: describe the quantity, timing and quality of water flows required to sustain freshwater and estuarine ecosystems and the human livelihoods and well being that depend on these ecosystems.

Flow regime: the entire pattern of flow in a river – from how long it lasts, to how frequently it flows and how large it is.

Fecundity: the potential reproductive capacity of an individual or population.

Fertigation: application of crop nutrients through the irrigation system (i.e. liquid fertiliser)

Future irrigation development: is described by each case study storyline (see chapters 8 to 10); river inflow and agricultural productivity are modified accordingly.

Geological basin: layers of rock that have been deformed by mega-scale geological forces to become bowlshaped. Often these are round or oblong with a depression in the middle of the basin.

Geological formation: geological formations consist of rock layers that have common physical characteristics (lithology) deposited during a specific period of geological time.

Groundwater (hydrogeology): water that occurs within the zone of saturation beneath the Earth's surface. The study of hydrogeology focuses on movement of fluids through geological materials (e.g. layers of rock).

Groundwater basin: a groundwater basin is a non-geological delineation for describing a region of groundwater flow. Within a groundwater basin, water enters through recharge areas and flows toward discharge areas.

Groundwater divide: a divide that is defined by groundwater flow directions that flow in opposite directions perpendicular to the location of the divide.

Groundwater flow (hydrodynamics): within a groundwater basin, the path from a recharge area to a discharge area is referred to as a groundwater flow system, where travel time may be as short as days or longer than centuries, depending on depth. The mechanics of groundwater flow – the hydrodynamics – are governed by the structure and nature of the sequence of aquifers.

Groundwater flow model: a computer simulation of groundwater conditions in an aquifer or entire groundwater basin. The simulations are representations based on the physical structure and nature of the sequence of aquifers and rates of inflow – from recharge areas – and outflow – through springs and bores. **Groundwater level:** in this report refers to the elevation of equivalent freshwater hydraulic head at 25 °C

Groundwater recharge and discharge: recharge occurs where rainfall or surface water drains downward and is added to groundwater (the zone of saturation). Discharge occurs where groundwater emerges from the Earth, such as through springs or seepage into rivers.

Hydrodynamics: the study of liquids in motion.

Internal rate of return (IRR): the discount rate at which the net present value (NPV) is zero.

Legume: pulse crop.

Lithology: the character of a rock; its composition, structure, texture, and hardness.

Net present value: a standard method for using the time value of money to appraise long-term projects by measuring the differences between costs and revenues in present value terms.

Palaeochannel: refers to the main channel of ancient rivers, sometimes called the 'thalweg', the lowest point of incision along the river bed where coarser sediments are commonly deposited. Former river channels that are recognised in the surface (from aerial or satellite images) or subsurface (typically in aerial electromagnetic surveys or drilling).

Permeability: a measurement describing the ability of any fluid (water, oil) to pass through a porous material. Values vary widely, with higher values corresponding to aquifers (i.e., highly permeable) and lower values corresponding to aquitards (i.e. less permeable).

Refugia: habitat for species to retreat to and persist in.

Regolith: weathered upper layer.

Residual value: calculated as the proportional asset life remaining multiplied by the original asset price.

Riparian: of, on, or relating to the banks of a watercourse. A riparian zone is the area of land immediately adjacent to a stream or river. Plants found within this zone are collectively known as riparian vegetation. This vegetation frequently contains large trees that stabilise the river bank and shade part of the river.

River reach: an extent or stretch of river between two bends.

Streamflow: is the flow of water in rivers and other channels (creeks, streams etc.). Water flowing in channels comes from surface runoff, from groundwater flow, and from water discharged from pipes. There are a variety of ways to measure streamflow – a gauge provides continuous flow over time at one location for water resource and environmental management or other purposes; it can be estimated by mathematical equations. The record of flow over time is called a hydrograph. Flooding occurs when the volume of water exceeds the capacity of the channel.

Triple-bottom-line: an accounting framework that incorporates three dimensions of performance: social, environmental and financial.

Watertable: the surface where the groundwater level is balanced against atmospheric pressure. Often, this is the shallowest water below the ground.

Appendix C

List of figures

Figure 1 1 Mangoes in the Darwin catchmentsiii
Figure 1 1 Map of Australia showing Assessment area 4
Figure 1 2 Schematic diagram of key components and concepts in the establishment of a greenfield irrigation development
Figure 1 3 The Darwin catchments 12
Figure 2 1 Schematic diagram of key natural components and concepts in the establishment of a greenfield irrigation development
Figure 2 2 Major geological provinces of the Darwin catchments 21
Figure 2 3 Surface geology of the Darwin catchments 23
Figure 2 4 The soil generic groups of the Darwin catchments produced by digital soil mapping 26
Figure 2 5 Field soil sampling to 1.5 m depth of DSM chosen sites for modelling and chemical analysis carried out by CSIRO and the NT Department of Environment and Natural Resources 28
Figure 2 6 Surface soil pH of the Darwin catchments
Figure 2 7 Minimum soil depth of the Darwin catchments 30
Figure 2 8 Soil surface texture of the Darwin catchments
Figure 2 9 Soil permeability of the Darwin catchments
Figure 2 10 Plant available water capacity in the Darwin ca tchments
Figure 2 11 Rockiness in soils of the Darwin catchments
Figure 2 12 Rainfall during the wet season in the Darwin catchments
Figure 2 13 Historical rainfall, potential evaporation and rainfall deficit
Figure 2 14 Monthly rainfall in the Darwin catchments at Darwin and Wildman station under Scenario A
Figure 2 15 Monthly potential evaporation in the Darwin catchments at Darwin and Wildman station under Scenario A
Figure 2 16 Annual rainfall at Darwin and Wildman station under Scenario A 40
Figure 2 17 (a) Coefficient of variation of annual rainfall and (b) the coefficient of variation of annual rainfall plotted against mean annual rainfall for 96 rainfall stations around Australia 40
Figure 2 18 Runs of wet and dry years at Darwin and Wildman station under Scenario A 42
Figure 2 19 Percentage change in mean annual rainfall and potential evaporation under Scenario C relative to under Scenario A

Figure 2 20 Spatial distribution of mean annual rainfall across the Darwin catchments under scenarios Cwet, Cmid and Cdry
Figure 2 21 Monthly rainfall and potential evaporation for the Darwin catchments under scenarios A and C
Figure 2 22 Schematic diagram of terrestrial water balance in the Darwin catchments
Figure 2 23 Spatial extent of six key hydrogeological units in the Darwin catchments
Figure 2 24 Groundwater bore yields for different aquifers in the Darwin catchments
Figure 2 25 Two-dimensional conceptual schematic of the interconnected aquifer system and its variability
Figure 2 26 Groundwater salinity for different aquifers in the Darwin catchments
Figure 2 27 Annual recharge estimates for the Darwin catchments
Figure 2 28 Summary of recharge statistics to areas of key hydrogeological units
Figure 2 29 Spatial distribution of springs and monsoon vine forest patches across the Darwin catchments
Figure 2 30 Modelled streamflow under natural conditions
Figure 2 31 Mary River floodplain
Figure 2 32 Streamflow observation data availability in the Darwin catchments
Figure 2 33 Median annual streamflow (50% exceedance) in the Darwin catchments under Scenario A
Figure 2 34 Adelaide River at the potential Marrakai dam site looking upstream during the dry season
Figure 2 35 20% and 80% exceedance of annual streamflow in the Darwin catchments under Scenario A
Figure 2 36 Catchment area and elevation profile along the Adelaide River from its mouth to its source
Figure 2 37 Catchment area and elevation profile along the Mary River from its mouth to its source
Figure 2 38 Mean annual rainfall and runoff across the Darwin catchments under Scenario A . 65
Figure 2 39 Maps showing annual runoff at 20%, 50% and 80% exceedance across the Darwin catchments under Scenario A
Figure 2 40 Runoff in the Darwin catchments under Scenario A
Figure 2 41 Flood inundation map of the Darwin catchments
Figure 2 42 Spatial extent and temporal variation of inundation in the Adelaide, Mary and Wildman catchments
Figure 2 43 Relationships between peak flood discharge, maximum inundated area and annual exceedance probability

Figure 2 44 Instream waterhole evolution	. 70
Figure 2 45 Persistent waterhole in the Darwin catchments	. 70
Figure 2 46 Location of river reaches containing permanent water in the Darwin catchments	. 71
Figure 3 1 Schematic diagram of key components of the living and built environment to be considered in the establishment of a greenfield irrigation development	. 78
Figure 3 2 Distribution of important wetlands, important bird areas and protected areas within the Darwin catchments	. 83
Figure 3 3 Distribution of mangroves and salt flats in the coastal area of the Darwin catchments	. 89
Figure 3 4 Distribution of monsoon vine forest in the Darwin catchments	. 91
Figure 3 5 Distribution of focal migratory freshwater fishes in the Darwin catchments	. 93
Figure 3 6 Distribution of focal stable flow spawning fishes in the Darwin catchments	. 94
Figure 3 7 The freshwater sawfish (Pristis pristis)	. 95
Figure 3 8 Distribution of freshwater sawfish (Pristis pristis) in the Darwin catchments	. 96
Figure 3 9 Distribution of barramundi (Lates calcarifer) in the Darwin catchments	. 97
Figure 3 10 Distribution of magpie goose (Anseranas semipalmata) in the Darwin catchments	99
Figure 3 11 Mary River, showing floodplains, wetlands, riparian areas and terrestrial systems	100
Figure 3 12 Distribution of species listed under the EPBC Act (Cth) and by the Northern Territory Government in the Darwin catchments1	101
Figure 3 13 Land use classification for the Darwin catchments	106
Figure 3 14 Map of regions in the Northern Prawn Fishery (NPF)	108
Figure 3 15 Tourism is a major contributor to the economy of the Darwin catchments	109
Figure 3 16 Tourism Research Australia and Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS) statistical regions relevant to the Darwin catchments1	110
Figure 3 17 Mining licences, tenements and major resources in the Darwin catchments	113
Figure 3.18 Road rankings and conditions for the Darwin catchments	114
ingure of to houd runkings and conditions for the Darwin cateriments	
Figure 3 19 Vehicle access restrictions for the Darwin catchments	115
Figure 3 19 Vehicle access restrictions for the Darwin catchments	115 116
Figure 3 19 Vehicle access restrictions for the Darwin catchments	115 116 116
Figure 3 19 Vehicle access restrictions for the Darwin catchments	115 116 116 117
Figure 3 19 Vehicle access restrictions for the Darwin catchments	115 116 116 117 120
Figure 3 19 Vehicle access restrictions for the Darwin catchments	115 116 116 117 120 122

Figure 3 26 Manton Dam looking upstream 124
Figure 3 27 Current groundwater allocations for the Darwin catchments
Figure 3 28 Rainbow diagram classifying stakeholders according to their likely support of irrigated agriculture in a greenfield site in the Darwin catchments. Stakeholders to the right of the diagram are more likely to be supportive
Figure 3 29 Indigenous freehold (Aboriginal Land) in the Darwin catchments as at July 2017 . 134
Figure 3 30 Indigenous native title claims and determinations in the Darwin catchments as at July 2017135
Figure 3 31 General location of registered Indigenous cultural heritage sites in the Darwin catchments as at July 2017
Figure 3 32 Median length of each stage of the assessment process under the Environmental Assessment Act (NT), 2006–2018
Figure 3 33 Median length of each stage of the assessment and approval process under the EPBC Act, all projects in the Northern Territory over the period 2010-2018
Figure 3 34 Total length of EPBC Act assessment and approval process, Northern Territory projects from 2010-2018, by industry and length of process
Figure 4 1 Schematic diagram of agriculture and aquaculture enterprises as well as crop and/or forage integration with existing beef enterprises to be considered in the
establishment of a greenfield irrigation development
 establishment of a greenfield irrigation development
establishment of a greenfield irrigation development
establishment of a greenfield irrigation development
establishment of a greenfield irrigation development
establishment of a greenfield irrigation development.164Figure 4 2 Area (ha) associated with each land suitability class in the Darwin catchments for14 potential crop land uses.14 potential crop land uses.171Figure 4 3 Agricultural versatility index map for the Darwin catchments.172Figure 4 4 A mungbean crop ready to be harvested176Figure 4 5 Annual cropping calendar for agricultural options in the Darwin catchments177Figure 4 6 Probability of exceedance graph of simulated dryland sorghum yields (t/ha) for179fortnightly sowing dates from January to April on a Red Kandosol at Wildman River179Figure 4 7 Probability of yield potential for dryland and fully irrigated mungbean sown in180Wildman River climate on a Red Kandosol in February/March (dryland) and in August180Figure 4 9 Crop yield plotted against applied irrigation water in Wildman River climate for181Figure 4 10 Crop yield plotted against applied irrigation water in Wildman River climate for181Figure 4 10 Crop yield plotted against applied irrigation water in Wildman River climate for181

Figure 4 12 Sorghum (grain) 189)
Figure 4 13 Modelled land suitability for mungbean in the dry season using (a) furrow irrigation and (b) spray irrigation	2
Figure 4 14 Mungbean 192	2
Figure 4 15 Modelled land suitability for soybean in the dry season using (a) spray irrigation and (b) furrow irrigation	5
Figure 4 16 Soybean 195	5
Figure 4 17 Modelled land suitability for (a) dry-season peanut using spray irrigation and (b) dry-season sweet potato using spray irrigation	3
Figure 4 18 Peanuts 198	3
Figure 4 19 Modelled land suitability for (a) Rhodes grass and (b) forage sorghum, both grown using spray irrigation	2
Figure 4 20 Rhodes grass 202	2
Figure 4 21 Modelled land suitability for lablab using spray irrigation in (a) the dry season and (b) the wet season	5
Figure 4 22 Lablab 205	5
Figure 4 23 Modelled land suitability for cotton grown in the wet season using (a) spray irrigation and (b) furrow irrigation	Э
Figure 4 24 Cotton 209	Э
Figure 4 25 Modelled land suitability for sugarcane grown using (a) spray irrigation and (b) furrow irrigation	2
Figure 4 26 Sugarcane	2
Figure 4 27 Modelled land suitability for (a) cucurbits (e.g. rockmelon) in the wet season using trickle irrigation and (b) Asian vegetables in the dry season using trickle irrigation 215	5
Figure 4 28 Asian vegetables in the Darwin catchments 215	5
Figure 4 29 Modelled land suitability for Indian sandalwood grown using (a) mini spray or (b) furrow irrigation	3
Figure 4 30 Indian sandalwood and host plant 218	3
Figure 4 31 Modelled land suitability for (a) mango and (b) banana, both grown using trickle irrigation	2
Figure 4 32 Mangoes 222	2
Figure 4 33 Black tiger prawns 225	5
Figure 4 34 Barramundi 226	5
Figure 4 35 Schematic of marine aquaculture farm 227	7
Figure 4 36 Land suitability in the Darwin catchments for marine species aquaculture; (a) earthen ponds and (b) lined ponds	ŝ

Figure 4 37 Land suitability in the Darwin catchments for freshwater species aquaculture; (a) earthen ponds and (b) lined ponds
Figure 5 1 Schematic diagram of key engineering and agricultural components to be considered in the establishment of a water resource and greenfield irrigation development. 242
Figure 5 2 Hydrogeological units with potential for future groundwater resource development
Figure 5 3 Monsoon vine forest in the Mary–Wildman area
Figure 5 4 Targeted field investigations included the drilling and installation of (a) shallow monitoring bores near springs and (b) deeper monitoring bores in the middle of the aquifers251
Figure 5 5 Spatial extent of the (a) overlying Mesozoic–Cenozoic sand aquifer and (b) underlying Koolpinyah Dolostone aquifer in the Mary and Wildman catchments
Figure 5 6 Elevation of the top of the Mesozoic–Cenozoic sand aquifer in the Mary–Wildman rivers area (mAHD)
Figure 5 7 Depth to groundwater values measured (a) at the end of the 2016 dry season and (b) at the peak of the 2016–17 wet season in the Mary–Wildman rivers area
Figure 5 8 Modelled drawdown in groundwater level in (a) model layer 1 (b) model layer 2 for a 6-GL/year extraction over a 20-year period
Figure 5 9 Modelled drawdown in groundwater level in (a) model layer 1 (b) model layer 2 for a 9 GL/year extraction rate over a 20-year period
Figure 5 10 Monsoon vine forest in the Darwin catchments 259
Figure 5 11 Types of managed aquifer recharge (MAR) 261
Figure 5 12 MAR opportunities for the Darwin catchments irrespective of distance from a water source for recharge
Figure 5 13 MAR opportunities in the Darwin catchments within 5 km of major rivers
Figure 5 14 Existing and potential water sources for MAR in the Darwin catchments
Figure 5 15 Hydrograph of bores (a) RN009266 and (b) RN009421 in the Howard East area 267
Figure 5 16 Schematic diagram of a hypothetical Howard East ASTR MAR scheme
Figure 5 17 Schematic diagram of the hypothetical Howard East ASR MAR scheme
Figure 5 18 ASR bore Warruwi, NT, storing excess drinking water supplies from the shallow laterite aquifer during the wet season in a deeper sandstone aquifer
Figure 5 19 Schematic diagram of the hypothetical Palmerston ASR MAR scheme 271
Figure 5 20 Schematic diagram of the hypothetical Berry Springs recharge release MAR scheme
Figure 5 21 Recharge weir at Minderoo in the Pilbara, WA
Figure 5 22 Schematic diagram of the hypothetical Adelaide River recharge weir MAR
scheme

Figure 5 23 Coastal floodplains in the Darwin catchments
Figure 5 24 Potential storage sites in the Darwin catchments based on minimum cost per ML storage capacity
Figure 5 25 Looking north along the main axis of the AROWS 285
Figure 5 26 Potential storage sites in the Darwin catchments based on minimum cost per ML yield at the dam wall
Figure 5 27 Darwin catchments hydro-electric power generation opportunity map 287
Figure 5 28 Magpie geese on the Darwin River Dam spillway 293
Figure 5 29 Cost of water in \$/ML versus cumulative divertible yield at 85% annual time reliability and change in flow at the end-of-system in the Darwin catchments
Figure 5 30 The upper Adelaide River dam site on the Adelaide River looking upstream 295
Figure 5 31 Migratory fish and water-dependent birds in the vicinity of the potential upper Adelaide River dam site
Figure 5 32 The upper Adelaide River dam site on the Adelaide River: cost, yield at the dam wall and evaporation
Figure 5 33 Comparisons of modelled inundated area with and without construction of upper Adelaide River dam under historical climate
Figure 5 34 The Mount Bennett dam site on the Finniss River looking upstream
Figure 5 35 Migratory fish and water-dependent birds in the vicinity of the potential Mount Bennett dam site
Figure 5 35 Migratory fish and water-dependent birds in the vicinity of the potential Mount Bennett dam site
Figure 5 35 Migratory fish and water-dependent birds in the vicinity of the potential MountBennett dam site299Figure 5 36 Mount Bennett dam site on the Finniss River: cost, yield at the dam wall and evaporation299Figure 5 37 Comparisons of inundated area with and without construction of Mount Bennett dam under historical climate300
Figure 5 35 Migratory fish and water-dependent birds in the vicinity of the potential MountBennett dam site299Figure 5 36 Mount Bennett dam site on the Finniss River: cost, yield at the dam wall and evaporation299Figure 5 37 Comparisons of inundated area with and without construction of Mount Bennett dam under historical climate300Figure 5 38 Schematic cross-section diagram of sheet piling weir301
Figure 5 35 Migratory fish and water-dependent birds in the vicinity of the potential MountBennett dam site299Figure 5 36 Mount Bennett dam site on the Finniss River: cost, yield at the dam wall and evaporation299Figure 5 37 Comparisons of inundated area with and without construction of Mount Bennett dam under historical climate300Figure 5 38 Schematic cross-section diagram of sheet piling weir301Figure 5 39 Rectangular ringtank and 500 ha of cotton in the Flinders catchment (Queensland)303
Figure 5 35 Migratory fish and water-dependent birds in the vicinity of the potential MountBennett dam site299Figure 5 36 Mount Bennett dam site on the Finniss River: cost, yield at the dam wall and evaporation299Figure 5 37 Comparisons of inundated area with and without construction of Mount Bennett dam under historical climate300Figure 5 38 Schematic cross-section diagram of sheet piling weir301Figure 5 39 Rectangular ringtank and 500 ha of cotton in the Flinders catchment (Queensland)303Figure 5 40 Suitability of large farm-scale ringtanks in the Darwin catchments304
Figure 5 35 Migratory fish and water-dependent birds in the vicinity of the potential MountBennett dam site299Figure 5 36 Mount Bennett dam site on the Finniss River: cost, yield at the dam wall and evaporation299Figure 5 37 Comparisons of inundated area with and without construction of Mount Bennett dam under historical climate300Figure 5 38 Schematic cross-section diagram of sheet piling weir301Figure 5 39 Rectangular ringtank and 500 ha of cotton in the Flinders catchment (Queensland)303Figure 5 40 Suitability of large farm-scale ringtanks in the Darwin catchments304Figure 5 41 Reliability of extracting water up to the annual system/reach entitlement volume for a selection of seven water harvesting users for a pump start threshold of 200 ML/day
Figure 5 35 Migratory fish and water-dependent birds in the vicinity of the potential MountBennett dam site299Figure 5 36 Mount Bennett dam site on the Finniss River: cost, yield at the dam wall and299Figure 5 37 Comparisons of inundated area with and without construction of Mount Bennett299Gam under historical climate300Figure 5 38 Schematic cross-section diagram of sheet piling weir301Figure 5 39 Rectangular ringtank and 500 ha of cotton in the Flinders catchment303(Queensland)303Figure 5 40 Suitability of large farm-scale ringtanks in the Darwin catchments304Figure 5 41 Reliability of extracting water up to the annual system/reach entitlement volume306Figure 5 42 Diesel powered axial-flow flood-harvesting pump in Flinders catchment307
Figure 5 35 Migratory fish and water-dependent birds in the vicinity of the potential MountBennett dam site299Figure 5 36 Mount Bennett dam site on the Finniss River: cost, yield at the dam wall and299Figure 5 37 Comparisons of inundated area with and without construction of Mount Bennett299Figure 5 37 Comparisons of inundated area with and without construction of Mount Bennett300Gam under historical climate300Figure 5 38 Schematic cross-section diagram of sheet piling weir301Figure 5 39 Rectangular ringtank and 500 ha of cotton in the Flinders catchment303(Queensland)303Figure 5 40 Suitability of large farm-scale ringtanks in the Darwin catchments304Figure 5 41 Reliability of extracting water up to the annual system/reach entitlement volume307Figure 5 42 Diesel powered axial-flow flood-harvesting pump in Flinders catchment307Figure 5 43 Reliability of extracting water up to the annual system/reach entitlement volume307Figure 5 43 Reliability of extracting water up to the annual system/reach entitlement volume307Figure 5 43 Reliability of extracting water up to the annual system/reach entitlement volume307Figure 5 43 Reliability of extracting water up to the annual system/reach entitlement volume307Figure 5 43 Reliability of extracting water up to the annual system/reach entitlement volume307Figure 5 43 Reliability of extracting water up to the annual system/reach entitlement volume307Figure 5 43 Reliability of seven water harvesting users for a pump start threshold of 600 ML/day 308

Figure 5 45 50% annual exceedance (median) streamflow relative to Scenario A in the Darwin catchments for a pump start threshold of 200 ML/day and a pump capacity of 10 days
Figure 5 46 80% annual exceedance streamflow relative to Scenario A in the Darwin catchments for a pump start threshold of 200 ML/day and a pump capacity of 10 days
Figure 5 47 Most economically suitable locations for large farm-scale gully dams in the Darwin catchments
Figure 5 48 Large farm-scale gully dam (~3.75 GL capacity) in Darwin catchments
Figure 5 49 Reported conveyance losses from irrigation systems across Australia
Figure 5 50 Efficiency of different types of irrigation systems
Figure 6 1 Schematic diagram of key components affecting the commercial viability of a potential greenfield irrigation development opportunity
Figure 6 2 Northern Territory input–output region relative to the Darwin catchments
Figure 6 3 Multipliers for each industry within the Northern Territory input–output region 365
Figure 7 1 Schematic diagram of the components where key risks can manifest when considering the establishment of a greenfield irrigation or aquaculture development
Figure 7 2 Diverse wetland habitats are critical ecological assets in the Darwin catchments 376
Figure 7 3 Map of the Darwin catchments showing the location of potential water development sites and nodes used for the ecological analysis
Figure 7 4 Assessment of change in flow metrics for assets at assessed location 81500001 in the Finniss catchment
Figure 7 5 Assessment of change in flow metrics for assets at assessed location 81700001 in the Adelaide catchment
Figure 7 6 Assessment of change in flow metrics for assets at assessed location 81700200 in the Adelaide catchment
Figure 7 7 Assessment of change in flow metrics for assets at assessed location 81700050 in the Adelaide catchment
Figure 7 8 Assessment of change in flow metrics for assets at assessed location 81700020 in the Adelaide catchment
Figure 7 9 Assessment of change in flow metrics for assets at assessed location 81800001 in the Mary catchment
Figure 7 10 Assessment of change in flow metrics for assets at assessed location 81800351 in the Mary catchment
Figure 7 11 Assessment of change in flow metrics for assets at assessed location 81800354 in the Mary catchment
Figure 7 12 Modelled change in monsoon vine forest patch transpiration rate and leaf area index with change in groundwater elevation (relative to no drawdown scenario)

Figure 7 13 Maximum condition scores of sooty grunter and black catfish, considering dam scenarios at nodes 81700001, 81700200, 81700050 and 81700020 in the Adelaide catchment
Figure 7 14 Maximum condition scores of sooty grunter and black catfish, considering dam scenarios at nodes 81800001, 81800351 and 81800354 in the Mary catchment
Figure 7 15 Maximum condition scores of barramundi, considering dam scenarios at nodes 81700001, 81700020, 81700050 and 81700200 in the Adelaide catchment
Figure 7 16 Maximum condition scores of barramundi, considering dam scenarios at nodes 81800001, 81800351 and 81800354 in the Mary catchment
Figure 7 17 Flood extent and percentage of sites inundated where magpie geese had historically been observed for a small annual flood event (AEP 1 in 3) under (a) Scenario A and (b) Scenario B-D
Figure 7 18 Flood extent and percentage of sites inundated where magpie geese had historically been observed for a moderate to large annual flood event (AEP 1 in 14) under
(a) Scenario A and (b) Scenario B-D 402
Figure 7 19 Simulated annual nitrogen N losses via runoff or leaching and soil loss from Adelaide River climate station and a Vertosol for four crops (forage sorghum, mungbean, rice and soybean) for the Darwin catchments
Figure 7 20 Simulated annual nitrogen N losses via runoff or leaching and soil loss from Adelaide River climate station and a Vertosol for rice, rice–sorghum, and rice–soybean crop rotations
Figure 7 21 Cross-section of a marine aquaculture farm detailing optimal land elevation, water flow and discharge

List of tables

Table 2 1 Soil generic groups (SGG) for the Darwin catchments	24
Table 2 2 Projected sea-level rise for the coast of the Darwin catchments	45
Table 2 3 Streamflow metrics at gauging stations in the Darwin catchments under	
Scenario A	61
Table 3 1 Asset types and asset names in the Darwin catchments	86
Table 3 2 Definition of threatened categories under the EPBC Act (Cth) and the Northern Territory wildlife classification system	. 102
Table 3 3 Major demographic indicators for the Darwin catchments	. 104
Table 3 4 SEIFA scores of relative socio-economic advantage for the Darwin catchments	. 104
Table 3 5 Key employment data in the Darwin catchments in relation to state and national means	. 105
Table 3 6 Key 2015 tourism data relevant to the Darwin catchments	. 111
Table 3 7 Key statistics relating to the mining industry in the Darwin catchments	. 112
Table 3 8 Overview of agriculture commodities transported into and out of the Darwin catchments	. 118
Table 3 9 Energy generation facilities in the Darwin catchments	. 119
Table 3 10 Constructed large dams in the Darwin catchments	. 123
Table 3 11 Hospitals servicing the Darwin catchments	. 127
Table 3 12 Number and percentage of unoccupied dwellings and population for the Darwin catchments	. 127
Table 3 13 Summary of published stakeholder and interest group values relevant to the development of greenfield irrigated agriculture in northern Australia	. 129
Table 3 14 Stakeholder engagement typology for the Darwin catchments, as determined via influence/interest matrices related to the development of irrigated agriculture in a greenfield site.	130
Table 3 1 Asset types and asset names in the Darwin catchments	86
Table 3 2 Definition of threatened categories under the EPBC Act (Cth) and the Northern Territory wildlife classification system	. 102
Table 3 3 Major demographic indicators for the Darwin catchments	. 104
Table 3 4 SEIFA scores of relative socio-economic advantage for the Darwin catchments	. 104
Table 3 5 Key employment data in the Darwin catchments in relation to state and national means	. 105
Table 3 6 Key 2015 tourism data relevant to the Darwin catchments	. 111
Table 3 7 Key statistics relating to the mining industry in the Darwin catchments	. 112

Table 3 8 Overview of agriculture commodities transported into and out of the Darwin catchments 11	18
Table 3 9 Energy generation facilities in the Darwin catchments11	19
Table 3 10 Constructed large dams in the Darwin catchments 12	23
Table 3 11 Hospitals servicing the Darwin catchments 12	27
Table 3 12 Number and percentage of unoccupied dwellings and population for the Darwincatchments12	27
Table 3 13 Summary of published stakeholder and interest group values relevant to the	
development of greenfield irrigated agriculture in northern Australia	29
Table 3 14 Stakeholder engagement typology for the Darwin catchments, as determined via influence/interest matrices related to the development of irrigated agriculture in a greenfield site.	30
Table 4 1 Land suitability classification used in the Assessment	70
Table 4 2 Qualitative land evaluation observations for locations in the Darwin catchmentsshown in Figure 4 3	73
Table 4 3 Yields (20th, 50th (median), 80th percentile) of three crops at Wildman River under dryland and irrigated conditions	74
Table 4 4 Crop types and crops explored in the Assessment	75
Table 4 5 Soil water content at sowing and rainfall for the 90-day period following sowing for three sowing dates (Wildman River), based on a Red Kandosol soil type	78
Table 4 6 Sowing date, crop yield, price, variable cost, gross margin and break-even crop yield for dryland crops in the Darwin catchments, with data shown for a Vertosol at Adelaide River and a Red Kandosol at Wildman River	79
Table 4 7 Cropping season, applied irrigation water, crop yield, price, variable cost, gross margin and break-even yield for crops in the Darwin catchments, with data shown for a Vertosol soil type at Adelaide River and a Red Kandosol soil type at Wildman River	83
Table 4 8 Cropping season, irrigation water requirement, crop yield, price, variable cost, gross margin and break-even crop yield for horticultural and tree crops in the Darwin catchments, assuming a Red Kandosol soil type	84
Table 4 9 Sorghum (grain) (Sorghum bicolor)	90
Table 4 10 Mungbean (Vigna radiata)	93
Table 4 11 Soybean (Glycine max)	96
Table 4 12 Peanut (Arachis hypogaea)19	99
Table 4 13 Rhodes grass (Chloris gayana) 20	03
Table 4 14 Cavalcade (Centrosema pascuorum 'Cavalcade') 20	06
Table 4 15 Cotton (Gossypium spp.)21	10
Table 4 16 Sugarcane (Saccharum) 21	13

Table 4 17 Asian vegetables	6
Table 4 18 Indian sandalwood (Santalum album)21	9
Table 4 19 Mango (Mangifera indica) 22	3
Table 4 20 Rationale for limitation assessment for aquaculture land and water suitabilityanalysis23	4
Table 5 1 Summary of capital costs, yields and costs per ML supply, including operation andmaintenance (O&M)24	.5
Table 5 2 Reconnaissance-level estimates of the potential scale of groundwater resourcedevelopment opportunities in the Darwin catchments	.9
Table 5 3 Modelled drawdown in groundwater level in the semi-confined and fully confinedaquifers using three different extraction rates and either discontinuous or continuousextraction regimes	5
Table 5 4 Summary of estimated costs to further characterise the interconnected sand anddolostone aquifers25	8
Table 5 5 Summary of estimated costs for a small-scale (1 GL/year) mosaic-style irrigation development using groundwater from the interconnected sand and dolostone aquifers 25	9
Table 5 6 Components of the hypothetical Howard East ASTR MAR scheme	9
Table 5 7 Components of the hypothetical Howard East ASR MAR scheme	0
Table 5 8 Components of the hypothetical Palmerston ASR MAR scheme	2
Table 5 9 Components of the Berry Springs recharge release MAR scheme	4
Table 5 10 Components of the hypothetical Adelaide River recharge weir MAR scheme 27	6
Table 5 11 Capital and operating costs for individual components of five hypothetical MARschemes in the Darwin catchments27	8
Table 5 12 Indicative capital and operating costs for five hypothetical MAR schemes (fourMAR types) in the Darwin catchments	9
Table 5 13 Summary of base costs used in MAR scheme costs estimates for the Darwincatchments	9
Table 5 14 Potential dam sites in the Darwin catchments examined as part of the Assessment28	88
Table 5 15 Summary comments for potential dams in the Darwin catchments	8
Table 5 16 Summary of pre-feasibility-level hydro-electric power assessment for two sites inthe Darwin catchments	0
Table 5 17 Indicative hydro-electric power generation revenues for two potential sites in theDarwin catchments29	1
Table 5 18 Estimated construction cost of 3-m high sheet piling weir	1
Table 5 19 Effective volume after net evaporation and seepage for ringtanks of threeaverage water depths and under three seepage rates at Wildman	.3

Table 5 20 Indicative costs for a 4000-ML ringtank 314
Table 5 21 Annualised cost for the construction and operation of three ringtankconfigurations314
Table 5 22 Equivalent annual cost per ML for two different capacity ringtanks under threeseepage rates315
Table 5 23 Annualised unit cost at optimum embankment height for ringtanks of varyingcapacity
Table 5 24 Actual costs of four gully dams in northern Queensland
Table 5 25 Cost of three hypothetical large farm-scale gully dams of capacity 4 GL
Table 5 26 High-level breakdown of capital costs for three hypothetical large farm-scale gullydams of capacity 4 GL319
Table 5 27 Effective volumes and cost per ML for a 4-GL storage with different averagedepths and seepage loss rates at Wildman in the Darwin catchments
Table 5 28 Cost of construction and operation of three hypothetical 4-GL gully dams
Table 5 29 Equivalent annualised cost and effective volume for three hypothetical 4-GL gullydams321
Table 5 30 Summary of conveyance and application efficiencies 323
Table 5 31 Water distribution and operational efficiency as nominated in water resourceplans for four irrigation water supply schemes in Queensland
Table 5 32 Application efficiencies for surface, spray and micro irrigation systems
Table 5 33 Energy demands and costs by irrigation type 330
Table 6 1 Indicative costs of agricultural processing facilities 341
Table 6 2 Indicative costs of road and electricity infrastructure 342
Table 6 3 Indicative road transport costs between Adelaide River and key markets and ports 342
Table 6 4 Indicative costs of community facilities 343
Table 6 5 Assumed capital and operating costs for a new irrigation scheme with a new, largedam
Table 6 6 Break-even farm gross margins required for schemes with different damdevelopment costs to meet target investment returns (IRR)
Table 6 7 Break-even water pricing required for schemes with different dam developmentcosts to meet target investment returns (IRR) for the water supplier (developer of dam,water distribution infrastructure and land)
Table 6 8 Minimum price water supplier would have to charge for water for schemes withdifferent costs of development to cover annual O&M costs
Table 6 9 Break-even water pricing for the amount an irrigator could afford to pay depending on the annual gross margin of the farm, crop applied irrigation water (before application losses), and the irrigator's target internal rate of return (IRR)

Table 6 10 Assumed capital and operating (O&M) costs for a new development using an on-farm water source
Table 6 11 Break-even farm gross margins required for schemes with different costs ofdeveloping on-farm water sources to meet target investment returns (IRR)
Table 6 12 Risk adjustment multipliers for break-even gross margins, accounting for the effects of reliability and severity (level of farm performance in 'failed' years) of periodic risks353
Table 6 13 Risk adjustment multipliers for break-even gross margins, accounting for theeffects of reliability and timing of periodic risks
Table 6 14 Risk adjustment multipliers for break-even gross margins, accounting for theeffects of learning risks355
Table 6 15 Effect of different staging options on scheme performance for a range of learningrisks357
Table 6 16 Assumptions used for incorporating a sugar mill into an irrigation scheme 359
Table 6 17 Comparison of financial performance of an irrigation scheme with and without asugar mill included360
Table 6 18 Key 2016 data comparing the Darwin catchments with the related NorthernTerritory input-output region
Table 6 19 Estimated regional economic impact per year resulting from four scales of direct increase in agricultural output (rows) for each of three different categories of agricultural activity (columns) in the Northern Territory input–output (NT I–O) region
Table 6 20 Estimated number of full-time equivalent jobs from four scales of increase in agricultural output (rows) for each of three different categories of agricultural activity (columns) in the Northern Territory input–output (NT I–O) region
Table 6 21 Estimated regional economic benefit of the construction phase of a development designed to promote an irrigated agricultural development within the Northern Territory input–output (NT I–O) region
Table 7 1 Percentage of wetlands connected to the main river channel in the Adelaide, Maryand Wildman catchments for a flood event of AEP 1 in 3
Table 7 2 Percentage of wetlands connected to the main river channel in the Adelaide, Maryand Wildman catchments for a flood event of AEP 1 in 14
Table 7 3 Percentage of wetlands connected in the Finniss catchment under AEP 1 in 3 390
Table 7 4 Percentage of wetlands connected in the Finniss catchment under AEP 1 in 10 391
Table 7 5 Maximum percentage of sites with magpie goose observations that are inundatedunder different scenarios
Table 7 6 Proportion of simulations (1 per week = 52), in which a fungal pathogen or insect pest could have been transported from a location in South-East Asia to the Darwin catchments

Table 7 7 Nitrogen (N) surplus for multiple crops grown in the Darwin catchments based on	
risk assessment	412
Table 7 8 Phosphorus (P) surplus for multiple crops grown in the Darwin catchments based on risk assessment	412
Table 7 9 Herbicide, pesticide and fungicide application rates for multiple crops grown in the	2
Darwin catchments	414

Appendix D

Detailed location map of the Darwin catchments and surrounds



CONTACT US

- t 1300 363 400 +61 3 9545 2176
- e csiroenquiries@csiro.au
- w www.csiro.au
- AT CSIRO, WE DO THE EXTRAORDINARY EVERY DAY

We innovate for tomorrow and help improve today – for our customers, all Australians and the world.

Our innovations contribute billions of dollars to the Australian economy every year. As the largest patent holder in the nation, our vast wealth of intellectual property has led to more than 150 spin-off companies.

With more than 5,000 experts and a burning desire to get things done, we are Australia's catalyst for innovation.

CSIRO. WE IMAGINE. WE COLLABORATE. WE INNOVATE.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION

- Dr Chris Chilcott
- t +61 8 8944 8422
- e chris.chilcott@csiro.au
- w www.csiro.au/en/research/LWF

Dr Cuan Petheram

- t +61 2 6246 5987
- e cuan.petheram@csiro.au
- w www.csiro.au/en/research/LWF

Dr Ian Watson

- t +61 7 4753 8606
- e ian.watson@csiro.au
- w www.csiro.au/en/research/AF