Climate Resilient Restoration of Box Gum Woodlands

Box Gum Woodlands were once widespread across south-eastern Australia but are now listed as an endangered plant community. Local communities are interested in restoring these important ecological areas.

This research looked at novel ways to restore the resilience of Box Gum Woodlands to a drying climate. We focused on enhancing soil biophysical condition, especially its capacity to capture and store limited rainfall.

Soil condition in different woodland degradation states

We examined physical and biological properties of soil in Box Gum Woodlands across central NSW, in sites that reflected a range of different degradation conditions, and compared these with high quality reference sites.

Degraded ground-layer states included those known from earlier work to be depleted in soil carbon and nitrogen, to states dominated by exotic annuals and known to be enriched in nutrients.

The most striking trends were for the depleted ground-layer states dominated by grasses such as Spear Grass (*Austrostipa scabra*), short Wallaby grasses (*Rytidosperma* spp.) and Wire grasses (*Aristida* spp). These had lower ground cover, organic carbon, clay content, micro-invertebrate abundance and microbial activity, and had slower water infiltration and greater topsoil compaction (indicating poor aeration) than sites in reference condition. Overall this led to a >25% reduction in the water holding capacity of the soil.
Enriched sites had higher levels of carbon than sites in reference condition, but compaction, clay content, water holding capacity, and biological activity were similar to sites in reference condition.

**Interventions to increase soil condition and water holding capacity**

To improve the soil condition (soil carbon, soil biological activity and soil moisture holding capacity) in depleted sites we trialled five treatments at three sites, these were:

- aerating soil using a drum rolling spike aerator (to reduce compaction)
- adding biochar using the aerator (to introduce carbon and microhabitat)
- adding mulch (to protect soil surfaces and introduce carbon)
- sowing native Red Grass *Bothriochloa macra* (to increase carbon)
- adding phosphorus (addition of ‘super’ - phosphate is a common practice on these soils)

While these effects are a substantial improvement over the degraded starting point, comparison with reference sites suggests the effect achieved so far are, on average, around 25% of that required for restoration to reference conditions. Further monitoring may determine if the benefits continue to accrue as biochar and mulch become better incorporated in the soil.

**Phosphorus**

Phosphorus addition had predominantly negative effects from a woodland restoration perspective. Total biomass marginally increased but this was mostly due to increases in exotic annuals, resulting in lower native grass cover. Measures of microbiological rates were also lower, although abundance of mites was higher.

**Native Red Grass and aeration**

There are few significant effects for Red Grass plots or for aeration at this stage. As Red Grass plants were still small and not fully established, effects may still become evident over longer time frames.
Figure 1. Averaged across treatments, the soil improvements in 2 years took the sites 6-28% closer to reference conditions for various measures. The solid lines show the average results measured at 2 years with the dotted lines showing the potential projected outcomes at 6 years if improvements continue at the initial rate.

Conclusions

Mulch and biochar are the most promising treatments so far to improve soil biophysical condition. Future monitoring will determine whether benefits reflect one-off increments or transitions that will facilitate ongoing improvement towards reference conditions (Figure 1).
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