

Agricultural resource assessment for the Gilbert catchment

A report to the Australian Government from the CSIRO Flinders and Gilbert Agricultural Resource Assessment, part of the North Queensland Irrigated Agriculture Strategy

Editors: Cuan Petheram, Ian Watson and Peter Stone

December 2013





Water for a Healthy Country Flagship Report series ISSN: 1835-095X

Australia is founding its future on science and innovation. Its national science agency, CSIRO, is a powerhouse of ideas, technologies and skills.

CSIRO initiated the National Research Flagships to address Australia's major research challenges and opportunities. They apply large scale, long term, multidisciplinary science and aim for widespread adoption of solutions. The Flagship Collaboration Fund supports the best and brightest researchers to address these complex challenges through partnerships between CSIRO, universities, research agencies and industry.

Consistent with Australia's national interest, the Water for a Healthy Country Flagship aims to develop science and technologies that improve the social, economic and environmental outcomes from water, and deliver \$3 billion per year in net benefits for Australia by 2030. The Sustainable Agriculture Flagship aims to secure Australian agriculture and forest industries by increasing productivity by 50 percent and reducing carbon emissions intensity by at least 50 percent by 2030.

For more information about Water for a Healthy Country Flagship, Sustainable Agriculture Flagship or the National Research Flagship Initiative visit

Citation

Petheram C, Watson I and Stone P (eds) (2013) Agricultural resource assessment for the Gilbert catchment. A report to the Australian Government from the CSIRO Flinders and Gilbert Agricultural Resource Assessment, part of the North Queensland Irrigated Agriculture Strategy. CSIRO Water for a Healthy Country and Sustainable Agriculture flagships, Australia.

Chapters should be cited in the format of the following example: Petheram C, Watson I, Marston F, Buettikofer B and Stone P (2013) Chapter 1: Preamble. In: Petheram C, Watson I and Stone P (eds) Agricultural resource assessment for the Gilbert catchment. A report to the Australian Government from the CSIRO Flinders and Gilbert Agricultural Resource Assessment, part of the North Queensland Irrigated Agriculture Strategy. CSIRO Water for a Healthy Country and Sustainable Agriculture flagships, Australia.

Copyright

© Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation 2013. To the extent permitted by law, all rights are reserved and no part of this publication covered by copyright may be reproduced or copied in any form or by any means except with the written permission of CSIRO.

Important disclaimer

CSIRO advises that the information contained in this publication comprises general statements based on scientific research. The reader is advised and needs to be aware that such information may be incomplete or unable to be used in any specific situation. No reliance or actions must therefore be made on that information without seeking prior expert professional, scientific and technical advice. To the extent permitted by law, CSIRO (including its employees and consultants) excludes all liability to any person for any consequences, including but not limited to all losses, damages, costs, expenses and any other compensation, arising directly or indirectly from using this publication (in part or in whole) and any information or material contained in it.

Flinders and Gilbert Agricultural Resource Assessment acknowledgments

This report was prepared for the Office of Northern Australia in the Australian Government Department of Infrastructure and Regional Development under the North Queensland Irrigated Agriculture Strategy http://www.regional.gov.au/regional/ona/nqis.aspx. The Strategy is a collaborative initiative between the Office of Northern Australia, the Queensland Government and CSIRO. One part of the Strategy is the Flinders and Gilbert Agricultural Resource Assessment, which was led by CSIRO. Important aspects of the Assessment were undertaken by the Queensland Government and TropWATER (James Cook University).

The Strategy was guided by two committees:

- (i) the **Program Governance Committee**, which included the individuals David Crombie (GRM International), Scott Spencer (SunWater, during the first part of the Strategy) and Paul Woodhouse (Regional Development Australia) as well as representatives from the following organisations: Australian Government Department of Infrastructure and Regional Development; CSIRO; and the Queensland Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry.
- (ii) the **Program Steering Committee**, which included the individual Jack Lake (Independent Expert) as well as representatives from the following organisations: Australian Government Department of Infrastructure and Regional Development; CSIRO; the Etheridge, Flinders and McKinlay shire councils; Gulf Savannah Development; Mount Isa to Townsville Economic Development Zone; and the Queensland Government.

Chapters 1 to 7 of this report were reviewed by Dr Graham Bonnett (CSIRO Plant Industry) and Dr Glen Walker (CSIRO Land and Water).

Dr Brian Keating (Sustainable Agriculture Flagship) and Dr Peter Wallbrink (Water for a Healthy Country Flagship) reviewed the entire report. The following people reviewed all or part of one or more case studies (chapters 8 to 10): Dr Andrew Ash (CSIRO Ecosystem Sciences) and Dr Brad Pusey (River Research Pty Ltd).

For further acknowledgements, see page vi.

Director's foreword

Northern Australia comprises approximately 20% of Australia's land mass but remains relatively undeveloped. It contributes about 2% to the nation's gross domestic product (GDP) and accommodates around 1% of the total Australian population.

Recent focus on the shortage of water and on climate-based threats to food and fibre production in the nation's south have re-directed attention towards the possible use of northern water resources and the development of the agricultural potential in northern Australia. Broad analyses of northern Australia as a whole have indicated that it is capable of supporting significant additional agricultural and pastoral production, based on more intensive use of its land and water resources.

The same analyses also identified that land and water resources across northern Australia were already being used to support a wide range of highly valued cultural, environmental and economic activities. As a consequence, pursuit of new agricultural development opportunities would inevitably affect existing uses and users of land and water resources.

The Flinders and Gilbert catchments in north Queensland have been identified as potential areas for further agricultural development. The Flinders and Gilbert Agricultural Resource Assessment (the Assessment), of which this report is a part, provides a comprehensive and integrated evaluation of the feasibility, economic viability and sustainability of agricultural development in these two catchments as part of the North Queensland Irrigated Agricultural Strategy. The Assessment seeks to:

- identify and evaluate water capture and storage options
- identify and test the commercial viability of irrigated agricultural opportunities
- assess potential environmental, social and economic impacts and risks.

By this means it seeks to support deliberation and decisions concerning sustainable regional development.

The Assessment differs from previous assessments of agricultural development or resources in two main ways:

- It has sought to 'join the dots'. Where previous assessments have focused on single development activities or assets – without analysing the interactions between them – this Assessment considers the opportunities presented by the simultaneous pursuit of multiple development activities and assets. By this means, the Assessment uses a whole-of-region (rather than an asset-by-asset) approach to consider development.
- The novel methods developed for the Assessment provide a blueprint for rapidly assessing future land and water developments in northern Australia.

Importantly, the Assessment has been designed to lower the barriers to investment in regional development by:

- explicitly addressing local needs and aspirations
- meeting the needs of governments as they regulate the sustainable and equitable management of public resources with due consideration of environmental and cultural issues
- meeting the due diligence requirements of private investors, by addressing questions of profitability and income reliability at a broad scale.

Most importantly, the Assessment does not recommend one development over another. It provides the reader with a range of possibilities and the information to interpret them, consistent with the reader's values and their aspirations for themselves and the region.

Peter Stone

Dr Peter Stone, Deputy Director, CSIRO Sustainable Agriculture Flagship

Key findings

North Queensland's Gilbert catchment, comprising an area of approximately 46,000 km², drains into the southern Gulf of Carpentaria. Its population of approximately 1200 people is engaged mainly in pastoralism, but tourism, mining and commercial fishing make important contributions to the economy. Dryland and irrigated cropping currently occupy less than 0.02% of the landscape.

This report on the Gilbert catchment seeks to:

- identify and evaluate water capture and storage options
- identify and test the commercial viability of irrigated agricultural opportunities
- assess potential environmental, social and economic impacts and risks.

The Assessment acknowledges that locals have insights, skills and aspirations to contribute to development plans for the benefit of their region, community and environment. Scientific knowledge of the type produced by this Assessment should complement rather than compete with local knowledge.

Water capture and storage options

Two prospective instream water storages (dams) of significant scale have been identified (Green Hills and Dagworth dams). When combined, these two dams are capable of delivering to crops approximately 250 gigalitres (GL) of water in 85% of years. The next four most prospective instream dams add relatively small volumes of water at relatively high cost.

There is more soil suited to irrigation in the Gilbert catchment than there is water to irrigate it. If the most prospective six instream storages were to exist, it would be possible to irrigate approximately 0.6% of the catchment's irrigable soils.

On-farm dams are considered less prospective because of the catchment's often sandy soils, though there are locations suited to on-farm water storage.

Agricultural opportunities

Based on the identified water storage and the large areas of potentially irrigable agricultural soils (approximately 2 million ha), there is the potential for an irrigation development of 20,000 to 30,000 ha supporting year-round mixed irrigated and dryland cropping. The precise area under irrigation will, in any year, vary depending on factors such as irrigation efficiency, water availability, crop choice and risk appetite. A development of this scale is larger than the existing Ord River Irrigation Area, and may be sufficient to sustain local processing facilities such as a cotton gin or a sugarcane mill. If crops were grown to their full potential, the regional gross margin of crop production could exceed \$60 million/year.

Dryland production is sensitive to the very high year-to-year variability of rainfall in the Gilbert catchment. Break-even yields of most crops can be achieved only two to three years in ten, which precludes commercial returns on development costs such as land clearing. If these costs are 'sunk', commercial returns from dryland cropping require that crops approach their full yield potential and that they are grown only in years when cropping opportunity is high. This can be clearly distinguished at sowing time using seasonal rainfall outlooks and information about water stored in dams and in soil. Despite these challenges, dryland cropping is likely to be a component of irrigation development.

Environmental impacts and risks

Irrigated agricultural development has a wide range of potential benefits and risks.

The two most prospective dams would, in the downstream environment, amplify the environmental and other challenges associated with dry years. Critical environmental processes (such as wetland inundation)

would not be greatly affected by water extraction in 'average' or wet years, largely because the dams would be located in the middle reaches of the catchment and would intercept a mean 14% and median 20% of flow to the Gulf of Carpentaria. Impacts of reduced river discharges to the Gulf on commercial and recreational fishing catches are possible but have not been quantified in this study. Large-scale change of land and water use is likely to require a wide range of regulatory, social and cultural responses, including consideration of native title implications.

Under the development scenarios examined, the high capital costs of dams and water delivery infrastructure (approximately \$1 billion) precludes economic returns on combined investment in water assets and irrigated farming. Where third-party investment in water storage and delivery was examined, it was found that commercial returns on irrigated agriculture are possible when crops approached their full yield potential – a condition that becomes more probable with experience.

Key deliverables

This report is one of two catchment reports within a suite of products provided by the Assessment to fulfil its contractual obligations:

- Technical reports present scientific work at a level of detail sufficient for technical and scientific experts to reproduce the work.
- Each of the two catchment reports (i.e. this report and another for the Flinders catchment) synthesises key material from the technical reports, providing well-informed but non-scientific readers with the information required to make decisions about the opportunities, costs and benefits associated with irrigated agriculture.
- Two overview reports one for each catchment are provided for a general public audience.
- A factsheet provides key findings for both the Flinders and Gilbert catchments for a general public audience.

All these products are listed in full in Appendix A.

The Flinders and Gilbert Agricultural Resource Assessment team

Project Director Peter Stone

Project Leaders Cuan Petheram, Ian Watson

Heinz Buettikofer, Becky Schmidt, Maryam Ahmad, Simon Gallant, **Reporting Team**

Frances Marston, Greg Rinder, Audrey Wallbrink

Ruth Palmer, Daniel Aramini, Michael Kehoe, Scott Podger **Project Support**

Leane Regan, Claire Bobinskas, Dianne Flett², Rebecca Jennings Communications

Mick Hartcher Data Management

Activities

Tony Webster, Brett Cocks, Jo Gentle⁶, Dean Jones, Di Mayberry, Agricultural productivity

Perry Poulton, Stephen Yeates, Ainsleigh Wixon

<u>Damien Burrows</u>¹, Jon Brodie¹, Barry Butler¹, Cassandra James¹, Aquatic and riparian ecology

Colette Thomas¹, Nathan Waltham¹

Climate Cuan Petheram, Ang Yang

Flood mapping Dushmanta Dutta, Fazlul Karim, Steve Marvanek, Cate Ticehurst

Geophysics Tim Munday, Tania Abdat, Kevin Cahill, Aaron Davis

Groundwater <u>Ian Jolly</u>, <u>Andrew Taylor</u>, Phil Davies, Glenn Harrington,

John Knight, David Rassam

Marcus Barber, Fenella Atkinson⁵, Michele Bird², Susan McIntyre-Indigenous water values

Tamwoy⁵

Instream waterholes <u>David McJannet</u>, Anne Henderson, Jim Wallace¹

Irrigation infrastructure John Hornbuckle

Rebecca Bartley, Daniel Brough³, Charlie Chen, David Clifford, Land suitability

> Angela Esterberg³, Neil Enderlin³, Lauren Eyres³, Mark Glover, Linda Gregory, Mike Grundy, Ben Harms³, Warren Hicks,

Joseph Kemei, Jeremy Manders³, Keith Moody³, Dave Morrison³,

Seonaid Philip, Bernie Powell³, Liz Stower, Mark Sugars³, Mark Thomas, Seija Tuomi, Reanna Willis³, Peter R Wilson²

Linda Holz, Julien Lerat, Chas Egan³, Matthew Gooda³, River modelling

Justin Hughes, Shaun Kim, Alex Loy³, Jean-Michel Perraud,

Geoff Podger

Lisa Brennan McKellar, Neville Crossman, Onil Banerjee, Socio-economics

Rosalind Bark, Andrew Higgins, Luis Laredo, Neil MacLeod,

Marta Monjardino, Carmel Pollino, Di Prestwidge, Stuart Whitten,

Glyn Wittwer⁴

<u>Cuan Petheram</u>, Geoff Eades², John Gallant, Paul Harding³, Water storage

Ahrim Lee³, Sylvia Ng³, Arthur Read, Lee Rogers, Brad Sherman,

Kerrie Tomkins, Sanne Voogt³

Note: all contributors are affiliated with CSIRO unless indicated otherwise. Activity Leaders are underlined. ¹ TropWATER, James Cook University, ² Independent consultant, ³ Queensland Government, ⁴ Monash University, ⁵ Archaeological Heritage Management Solutions, ⁶University of Western Sydney

Acknowledgements

A large number of people provided a great deal of help, support and encouragement to the Flinders and Gilbert Agricultural Resource Assessment (the Assessment) team over the past two years. Their contribution was generous and enthusiastic and we could not have completed the work without them. We are particularly indebted to the local community of the Flinders and Gilbert catchments and from a range of people in Queensland state government departments and elsewhere. Many of our work colleagues also provided critical expertise and support.

Each of the accompanying technical reports (see Appendix A) contains its own set of acknowledgements. Here we acknowledge those people who went 'above and beyond' and who contributed across the Assessment activities.

The communities of the Flinders and Gilbert catchments enthusiastically embraced the Assessment team. They provided: (i) hospitality, (ii) historical and contextual information, (iii) access to land and help in finding waterholes, bores, promising dam sites and other features, (iv) unpublished reports, and (v) answers to a bewildering array of questions from the Assessment team. Importantly, they also gave us 'the time of day', showing us around the catchment and their landholdings and providing the local context that is so important for work of this kind. In particular, we thank the members of FRAP, the Flinders River Agricultural Precinct. Brendan McNamara as Chair was welcoming from the beginning. Corbett Tritton, Chair of the Flinders River Agricultural Precinct Growers Group and a local grazier and irrigator was generous with his time, expertise and insights into agricultural development as well as providing access to his crops for the Assessment team to collect data. Ninian Stewart-Moore, Brian Hughes, Ardie Lord, Darren Beeton, Alistair McClymont, Edward McIntosh, Scott Harris, Campbell Keough, David and Kenneth Coleman, Colin Blacklock, Ray Theme, Jacqueline and Robert Curley as well as many other landholders helped the Assessment team. Brad Bowen and Ken and Brendan Fry took us through their existing irrigated enterprises. Grant Randell contributed both his expertise and his land and water to help us understand the opportunities for a range of crops. Landholders also contributed their time to formal surveys of their attitudes to agricultural development in the two catchments. Julie Harrison, the FRAP Project Officer, provided an enormous amount of assistance to the Assessment team. If Julie didn't know the answer to a question, she put us in touch with someone who did. She helped organise our contacts with the local community and, importantly, Julie was such an enthusiastic supporter and advocate of the Assessment team that she provided the credibility that we needed for others to contribute their thoughts, expertise and information.

Local government support in both catchments was critical. The mayors and CEOs of the six relevant shires encouraged their staff to support the Assessment. In particular, Will Attwood, Ian Tincknell and the councillors of the Etheridge Shire gave freely of their time. Lew Rohjan and Steve McCartney were invaluable providers of information and local context. Noelene Ikin, Michael Digby and Tim Hoogwerf from Northern Gulf NRM provided digital and other information. Members of the Mt Isa to Townsville Economic Development Zone and Gulf Savannah Development, in particular, Glen Graham, Mark Shadur and Rob McAllister provided us with unpublished reports and other support. Warren Devlin and Peter Gilbey gave us advice on water storage opportunities.

Members of Indigenous communities from the two catchments provided the Assessment team with deep perspectives on the land, its history and its prospects for agricultural development. Many individuals gave up their time for interviews and discussion. In particular we'd like to thank members of the Carpentaria and North Queensland land councils, the Normanton and the Ewamian rangers, and the Yirendali, Wanamara, Mitakoodi, Gkuthaarn and Kukatj, Ewamian, Kurtijar, Kalkadoon and Tagalaka people.

The Assessment team received tremendous support from a large number of people in Queensland government departments. They are too numerous to all be mentioned here but they not only provided access to files and reports, access to spatial and other data, information on legislation and regulations,

access to groundwater bores and answered innumerable questions but they also provided the team with their professional expertise and encouragement. They included, but are not limited to Daniel Larsen, Nigel Kelly, Andrew Zull, Dan Brough, Bernie Powell and Neil Enderlin. In particular, we thank Steph Hogan for information and advice on water planning and regulation. Gareth Jones and Greg Mason, who have both worked in the district for a number of years, showed us around the catchments, helped us make contact with landholders, contributed to the agronomic trials, provided access to unpublished information and linked us to other state government resources. Gareth and Greg enthusiastically embraced the Assessment team from the beginning and we could not have completed the work without them.

The North Queensland Irrigated Agriculture Strategy's steering committee and governance committee provided us with wise counsel, good advice and the confidence that the Assessment was progressing well. The Stakeholder Engagement and Communication Strategy working group, especially Bec Jennings and Vern Rudwick, was instrumental in ensuring cross-agency co-ordination and provided communication products to stakeholders.

Our client, the Office of Northern Australia, provided us with the challenge of attempting such a complex multi- and inter-disciplinary project in a very short time. Andrew Dickson, Lee Chaney and Peter Mellor were critical to ensuring the Assessment proceeded smoothly.

A long list of expert reviewers provided advice that improved the quality of our methods report, the various technical reports and the two catchment reports.

Colleagues in CSIRO and elsewhere provided freely of their time and expertise to help with the Assessment. This was often at short notice and of sufficient scale that managing their commitment to other projects became challenging. The list is long, but we'd particularly like to thank Andrew Ash, Chris Stokes, Andrew Johnson, Liz Stower, Mike Grundy, Peter L Wilson, Robyn Cowley, Cam McDonald, Lindsay Bell, Jizelle Khoury, Peter Wallbrink, David Lemon, Brett Baker and Aaron Hawdon. CSIRO administrative, financial and legal staff provided great support, especially Sharyn Butts, Ali Wood, Fiona Johnstone, John Nappo, Jacqui Watt, Nicole Smith, Kristina Roberts, Ken Currie and Cie Rogers. Many other people, including Wayne Lillyman and Bernie Cornfoot provided costings or technical expertise to allow us to produce accurate modelling of development opportunities.

Finally, the complexity and scale of this Assessment meant that we spent more time away from our families than we might otherwise have chosen. The whole team recognises this can only happen with the love and support of our families, so thank you.

Contents

Directo	or's fore	eword	i
Key fin	dings		ii
The Fli	nders a	nd Gilbert Agricultural Resource Assessment team	iv
Acknow	wledgei	ments	vi
Conten	nts		viii
Part I	Intro	duction	1
1	Prean	nble	2
	1.1	Context: development in northern Australia	2
	1.2	Flinders and Gilbert Agricultural Resource Assessment	4
	1.3	Report objectives and structure	6
	1.4	References	8
2	Key co	oncepts and Assessment methods	10
	2.1	Key concepts	10
	2.2	Assessment methods	12
	2.3	References	29
Part II	Inform	mation for assessing potential scheme-scale and farm-scale irrigation developments	33
3	Physic	cal environment of the Gilbert catchment	34
	3.1	Summary	
	3.2	Geology	
	3.3	Soils of the Gilbert catchment	
	3.4	Climate	
	3.5	Hydrology	56
	3.6	References	77
4	Living	and built environment of the Gilbert catchment	80
	4.1	Summary	
	4.2	Ecology of the Gilbert catchment	82
	4.3	Indigenous pre-history and colonial history of the Gilbert catchment	93
	4.4	Indigenous water values, rights and interests and Indigenous development aspirations	101
	4.5	Broader social environmental values	108
	4.6	Catchment profile	108
	4.7	References	121
5			120
	Oppo	rtunities for irrigation in the Gilbert catchment	128
	Oppo 5.1	rtunities for irrigation in the Gilbert catchment	
			129

	5.4	Land development for irrigation	1/1	
	5.5	Cropping and other agricultural opportunities	172	
	5.6	Summary of dam and scheme-scale costs	220	
	5.7	References	221	
6	Overview of economic opportunities and constraints			
	6.1	Summary	227	
	6.2	Farm-scale opportunities	228	
	6.3	Scheme-scale opportunities	238	
	6.4	Legislation and regulation	246	
	6.5	Regional-scale impacts	249	
	6.6	References	249	
7	How	can the sustainability of irrigated agriculture be maximised?	252	
	7.1	Summary	253	
	7.2	Risk of irrigation-induced salinisation	254	
	7.3	Managing irrigation drainage	262	
	7.4	Ecological implications of altered flow regimes	264	
	7.5	References	267	
Part III	Case	studies	271	
8	Gree	n Hills dam and irrigated three-crop rotation	272	
	8.1	Summary	273	
	8.2	Storyline for this case study	274	
	8.3	Soils near Green Hills	277	
	8.4	Climate suitability for cotton, peanuts and sorghum (forage) at Green Hills	279	
	8.5	Scheme configuration and cropping systems	280	
	8.6	Financial analysis	287	
	8.7	On-site and off-site impacts	303	
	8.8	References	307	
9	Dagw	vorth and Green Hills dams and irrigated sugarcane	310	
	9.1	Summary	311	
	9.2	Storyline for this case study	312	
	9.3	Soils along the Gilbert and Einasleigh rivers	315	
	9.4	Climate suitability of sugarcane in the Georgetown area	319	
	9.5	Scheme configuration and cropping systems	320	
	9.6	Financial analysis	328	
	9.7	On-site and off-site impacts	341	
	9.8	References	346	
10	Kidst	on Dam and irrigated Rhodes grass	348	
	10.1	Summary	349	
	10.2	Storyline for this case study	350	
	10.3	Soils near Einasleigh	352	
	10.4	Geophysics investigation	355	
	10.5	Climate suitability for irrigated forage crops near Einasleigh	357	

10.6 Configuration of irrigation developments and cropping systems	358			
10.7 Financial analysis	363			
10.8 On-site and off-site impacts	373			
10.9 References	377			
Appendixes	379			
Appendix A				
Assessment products				
Appendix B				
Shortened forms	383			
Geological timeline	385			
Units	386			
Data sources and availability	387			
Glossary and terms	389			
Appendix C				
List of figures	391			
Appendix D				
List of tables	400			

Part I Introduction

Chapters 1 and 2 provide background and context for the Assessment and outline the methods adopted to undertake the work:

- Chapter 1 covers the background and context of the Assessment.
- Chapter 2 provides a high-level outline of the methods used by the Assessment. This information is
 designed to assist in understanding the limitations to and uncertainty associated with the
 information provided by the Assessment.

Readers will find these chapters provide the context for and critical foundational information about the Assessment with key concepts introduced and explained.

For a synthesis of the key findings from the Assessment, see page ii.

